Message from the Legislative Council.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I report to the House that a Message has been received from the Legislative Council that the Madras Tenants and Ryots Protection (Mysore Amendment) Bill, 1954, passed by the Assembly during the current session and transmitted to the Council has been passed by the Council without any amendments.

Business of the House.

Statement by the Speaker.

Mr. SPEAKER.—We commenced this session on the 11th October 1954 and till this date we have met for 12 days. During this period one day was taken for non-official business. The remaining 11 days were utilised for Government Business. 652 questions were tabled by Members. Of these, 644 questions were admitted by the Chair. Answers have been furnished to Members to 392 questions. Answers to 93 questions have since been received from Government. There thus remain 159 questions unanswered by the Government. Eight Short Notice Questions were tabled. Out of them, four were disallowed by the Chair and for another four consent of the Ministers concerned was not given. Thus all the eight Short Notice questions were disallowed.

Coming to non-official business, of the 100 resolutions tabled 98 were admitted by the Chair. Two resolutions were discussed on the floor of the House and withdrawn.

Statement showing information relating to questions tabled for the previous sessions of Legislative Assembly.

Questions admitted from Budget Session 1952 to Budget Session 1954.	...	3,077
Answers furnished to the above questions.	...	3,031
Questions remaining unanswered by Government.	...	46

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಚ್ಚೇಗೌಡ (ತುರುವೇಕೆರೆ).—Personal Inam Bill ಕಳೆದ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಗೀಕಾರವಾಯಿತು. ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ಒಂದು Communication ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರೆಸಿಡೆಂಟರು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಡದೆ ತಡೆ ಹಿಡಿದಿದ್ದಾರೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದುಬಂದಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರವಾಗಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವೇನು ಎಂಬ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ರೆವೆನ್ಯೂ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಈ ಸಭಾ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is he prepared to answer?

Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—Sir, the Mysore Personal Inams Abolition Bill was passed by both Houses of Legislature and is pending before the President for his assent. The matter is under correspondence. I do not want to say anything more at this stage.

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ (ಸಾಗರ-ಹೊನ ನಗರ).—ನಾನು ತಾಳಗುಂದ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ರೂಲ್ 39ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಚರ್ಚೆಗೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೆ. ಅದು sub-judice ಎಂಬ ಕಾರಣದ ಮೇಲೆ disallow ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ರೂಲಿಂಗನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಉತ್ತರ ಒದಗಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಹಾಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಕೊಡುವಾಗ 20ನೆಯ ತಾರೀಖು ತಾಳಗುಂದದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ತ್ರೀಯರ ಮೇಲೆ ಪೋಲೀಸರು ಅತ್ಯಾಚಾರ ನಡೆಸಲಿಲ್ಲ, ಅದು ಶುದ್ಧ ನಿರಾಧಾರವಾದ ಅಂಶ, ಅದು ಬರೀ ರಾಜಕೀಯ ಅಪಪ್ರಚಾರವೆಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ನಾನು challenge ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ದಯಮಾಡಿ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶವನ್ನು ಕಲ್ಪಿಸಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ವಿನಂತಿ.

Sri K. LAKKAPPA (Channarayapatna).—I had given notice of a short notice question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I have disallowed it; the Hon'ble Member may take another opportunity to ventilate his grievance.

***Sri Mulka GOVINDA REDDY** (Chitaldrug)—Sir, I am not questioning the ruling of the Chair but I would like to draw the Chair's attention to the fact that when that question was taken up for answers on the particular day the Minister said that the subject-matter of the question was *sub judice* and therefore he was not in a position to answer. When it was clarified later that we were referring to matters that happened on 24th and not on the 23rd, the Minister was pleased to answer that all these questions were put by Sri Gopala Gowda and others. When that is the case, when the question